|                                       | Case 2:11-cv-00835-JCM-CWH Docume  | ent 39 Filed 02/23/12 Page 1 of 2 |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
|                                       |  |                                   |  |
| 1                                     |  |                                   |  |
| 2                                     |  |                                   |  |
| 3                                     |  |                                   |  |
| 4                                     |  |                                   |  |
| 5                                     | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                                   |  |
| 6                                     | DISTRICT OF NEVADA   |                                   |  |
| 7                                     |  |                                   |  |
| 8                                     | BILLY Y. TATEYAMA,   | 2:11-CV-835 JCM (CWH)             |  |
| 9                                     | Plaintiff,   |                                   |  |
| 10                                    |  |                                   |  |
| 11                                    | V.   |                                   |  |
| 12                                    | AT&T, INC., et al.,  |                                   |  |
| 13                                    | Defendants.  |                                   |  |
| 14                                    |  |                                   |  |
| 15                                    |  | ORDER                             |  |
| 16                                    | Presently before the court is the matter of <i>Tateyama v. AT&amp;T, Inc., et al.</i> (Case No. 2:11-cv- |                                   |  |
| 17                                    | 00835-JCM-CWH).  |                                   |  |
| 18                                    | Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides: "If a defendant is not served within 120 days             |                                   |  |
| 19                                    | after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must       |                                   |  |
| 20                                    | dismiss the action without prejudice."   |                                   |  |
| 21                                    | Plaintiff filed the complaint on May 20, 2011. (Doc. #1). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil              |                                   |  |
| 22                                    | Procedure 4(m), on January 12, 2012, the clerk of the court provided notice to plaintiff that the action |                                   |  |
| 23                                    | would be dismissed as to defendant Fidelity Employer Services Company, LLC, if plaintiff did not         |                                   |  |
| 24                                    | file proof of service of process by February 11, 2012. (Doc. #33).                                       |                                   |  |
| 25                                    | To date, plaintiff has failed to file proof of service with the court as to Fidelity Employer            |                                   |  |
| 26                                    | Services Company, LLC.   |                                   |  |
| 27                                    |  |                                   |  |
| 28                                    |  |                                   |  |
| James C. Mahan<br>U.S. District Judge |  |                                   |  |

|    | Case 2.11-cv-00835-JCM-CWH Document 39 Filed 02/23/12 Page 2 0/2                        |
|----|---|
|    |   |
| 1  | Accordingly,  |
| 2  | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the case as to Fidelity                |
| 3  | Employer Services Company, LLC be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED without prejudice. |
| 4  | DATED February 23, 2012.  |
| 5  | Variation of the land   |
| 6  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |
| 7  | CIVILD STATES DISTRICT GODGE  |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |
| n  |   |

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge